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## Motivation

How computationally expensive is it to perform approximately-optimal scheduling?

With growing input sizes and large data centers, highly desirable to obtain a scheduling algorithm whose running time is linear in the size of the input.

All current state-of-the-art algs take super-linear time.

## Problem Definition

Classical scheduling problem with communication delay on identical machines, unit size jobs

Precedence-constrained jobs modeled as directed acyclic graph (DAG), vertex is job, edge indicates order

Given DAG $G=(V, E)$, $n$ unit-sized jobs, $M$ identical machines and communication delay $\rho$, provide a nearoptimal schedule in near-linear time.

## Near-Linear Time Algorithm

* Previous Result: Computing an optimal schedule is NP-hard in general. Best previous result by Lepere and Rapine obtain $O\left(\frac{\ln \rho}{\ln \ln \rho}\right)$-approx. in $\Omega(m \rho+n \ln M)$ time
* Our Result: $O\left(n \ln M+\frac{m \ln ^{3} n \ln \rho}{\ln \ln \rho}\right)$ time and $O\left(\frac{\ln \rho}{\ln \ln \rho}\right)$-approx. algorithm, tight up to polylog factors

(1) Initial Input DAG

(3) Remove scheduled vertices from the graph. Add a communication delay to schedule after previously scheduled jobs. Find a new small subgraph and schedule it.


How do you find jobs with ancestor sets that do not overlap too much quickly?


[^0]Scheduling Small Subgraphs

* Small Subgraph: A subgraph of the input DAG where each vertex has at most $\rho-1$ ancestors
 ancestor overlap and added to $S$

(5) Pruning vertices with large overlap with $S$ (6) List scheduling jobs in $S$
* Estimating Number of Ancestor Edges: We use count-distinct dges: We use count-distinct ancestors and edges in the induced subgraph of each node and its ancestors
* Partitioning Vertices to Buckets: First partition vertices into $O(\log \rho)$ buckets based on ancestor edge estimates
* Sampling Vertices from Buckets: Vertices (and ancestors) are sampled from buckets and added to $S$ if its ancestors do not overlap too much with $S$. Keep sampling until we've seen $O(\log n)$ vertices in a row that we do not add to $S$ or bucket empty
* Pruning All Stale Vertices from Buckets: Vertices with ancestor sets Bat overlap too much with $S$ are pruned or removed from buckets
* Standard list scheduling jobs in $S$ : Duplicate all ancestors of a job, schedule a job and all its (duplicated) ancestors on the same machine


## Analysis Key Insights

* Estimating Number of Ancestor Edges: Our count-distinct estimator algorithm on DAGs return ( $1 \pm \epsilon$ )-approximations to the number of ancestors (and edges) with probability at least $1-\frac{1}{n^{d}}$ for any constant $d \geq 1$ in $O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} \log ^{2} n\right)$ time per vertex
*Partitioning Vertices to Buckets: A vertex $v$ is in the $i$-th bucket if the estimate of the number of edges in the induced subgraph of its ancestors, $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}(\boldsymbol{v})$, is in $\left[2^{i}, 2^{i+1}\right)$; we can partition all vertices in $O\left(\frac{m+n}{\epsilon^{2}} \log ^{2} n\right)$ time
* Sampling Vertices from Buckets: A sampled vertex $v$ and all its ancestors are added to $S$ if at least a $\gamma$ fraction of its ancestor set is not in $S$. Once we stop sampling, less than a constant fraction of the vertices remaining in each bucket can be added to $S$ (for any constant)
* Pruning All Stale Vertices from Buckets: All vertices that cannot be added to $S$ are pruned; any pruned vertex has at most $4 \gamma$-fraction of ancestors not in $S$
* Standard list scheduling jobs in $S$ : Graham's list scheduling algorithm where scheduling $|S|$ jobs requires $O(|S| \log M)$ time
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