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## Open Problem Session Results

- Difficult to schedule a time when everyone is free!
- Tuesdays/Thursdays were unpopular
- Proposed times:
- Monday 3pm
- Friday 3:30pm
- Thoughts? Preferences?


## Sublinear Graph Model: Query Models

- Adjacency list query model:

Third neighbor $O(1)$ time per query

- Degree queries: given a vertex $v \in V$, output $\operatorname{deg}(v)$
- Neighbor queries: given a vertex vertex $v \in V$ and $i \in$ [ $n$ ], output the $i$-th neighbor of $v$ or $\perp$ if $i>$ $\operatorname{deg}(v)$



## Approximate Average Degree

- Given a graph in the adjacency list query model, compute the approximate average degree $\boldsymbol{d}$ of the nodes in the graph
- $d$ denotes the average degree
- Correct with probability at least 1 - $\boldsymbol{\delta}$
- Constant, $c$-approximation
- $\boldsymbol{c}=\mathbf{1}+\varepsilon$
- $\boldsymbol{c}=2+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$


## Lower Bounds

-When $c<1$, require linear queries

- An empty graph
- Graph with 1 edge
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$n^{\frac{1}{3}}$ stars each with
degree $2 n^{\frac{2}{3}}-2+n^{\frac{1}{3}}$

Requires $\Omega\left(n^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)$ samples

Average Degree: $\left(n^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot\left(2 n^{\frac{2}{3}}-3+n^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)+2\left(n-n^{\frac{1}{3}}\right)\right) / n \approx(4-\varepsilon)$

## Lower Bounds

- When $c<1$, require linear queries

Another problem: high variance, small number of nodes make *large* degree contributions

Average Degree: 2
$G_{1}$
Requires $\Omega\left(n^{\frac{2}{3}}\right)$ samples

## However, the strategy works for almost regular graphs!

- All vertices have degree in $[d, 10 d]$ for some known $d$
- Expectation of any sample is equal to $\bar{d}$
- $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{n} \cdot \operatorname{deg}\left(u_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{n} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{n} \operatorname{deg}\left(u_{i}\right)=\bar{d}$
- Sample $k=\frac{50}{\varepsilon^{2}} \cdot \ln \left(\frac{1}{\delta}\right)$ samples

Additive Chernoff Bound: Let $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{k}$ be independent random variables with values in $[0,1]$ and $Y=\sum_{i=1} Y_{i}$. Then, for any $b \geq 1$,

$$
\operatorname{Pr}[|Y-E[Y]|>b] \leq 2 \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{2 b^{2}}{k}\right)
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{i}=\operatorname{deg}\left(u_{i}\right) \text { not in } \\
& {[0,1], \text { what do we do? }}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Full Analysis Left as an Exercise for the Reader
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Normalization is a BIG issue in general! Need to normalize by $1 / n!$ !
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- Gets worse approximation but bucketing is a very important concept used in many algorithms
- Separate estimating nodes with different degrees
- Let $\boldsymbol{\beta}=\frac{\varepsilon}{c}$ (constant $c$ ) and $t=O\left(\frac{\log n}{\varepsilon}\right)$, then $i$-th bucket is defined as

$$
B_{i}=\left\{v \in V \mid(1+\beta)^{i-1}<\operatorname{deg}(v) \leq(1+\beta)^{i}\right\}
$$

$$
\text { for } i \in\{0,1, \ldots, t-1\}
$$
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- Key point: intuitively we want to correctly estimate sizes of each bucket
- Knowing the correct sizes lets us get good approximations of the average degree
- Problem: some buckets are small with large degrees!
- Solution: just ignore the small buckets

> Also the classification of small or large depends on our samples
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## A $(2+\varepsilon)$-Approximate Algorithm

Fine given our assumption that $\bar{d} \geq 1$ !

- How much do we lose from our ignored buckets?
- Big-big: both endpoints belong to big buckets
- Counted from both sides
- Big-small: one endpoints in big bucket one in small
- How much do we lose from our ignored buckets?
- Small-small: both endpoints in small buckets
- $\left|B_{i}\right| \leq \frac{2(\sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot n})}{c t}$ whp
- At most $t \cdot 2 \cdot \frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon \cdot n}}{c t}=\frac{2 \sqrt{\varepsilon n}}{c}$ nodes in small buckets
- Count from one side
- 2-approx


## Food for Thought Till Next Time

- $(1+\varepsilon)$-approx. for average degree + useful graph property!


Orient all edges from low to high degree, what's the max out-degree that you see?


[^0]:    Sampling and taking the average degree doesn't work in general

