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## Announcements

- Progress reports (2-3 pages) for final project: Due April 5th.
- The final project as well as the 30 min presentation is due on the last day of class: April 24th.
- Class notes and schedule for the lectures for the rest of the semester have been posted on the course page
- Check the Course Slack for OPS announcements!
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Theorem: \# weighted majority mistakes $\leq$

$$
2(1+\varepsilon) \cdot \text { best expert's \# of mistakes }+O\left(\frac{\log (N)}{\varepsilon}\right)
$$
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## Hedge Algorithm

1. Initialize each $w_{i}^{1} \leftarrow 1$ for each $i \in[N]$
2. For each $t \in[T]$ :
a) Set $p_{i}^{t} \leftarrow \frac{w_{i}^{t}}{\sum_{j \in[N]} w_{j}^{t}}$
b) Observe the loss vector $\vec{m}^{t}$
c) For each $i \in[N]$ :
i. Set $w_{i}^{t+1} \leftarrow w_{i}^{t} \cdot \exp \left(-\varepsilon \cdot m_{i}^{t}\right)$
$m_{i}^{t}>0$, decrease $i^{\prime}$ s weight; otherwise increase $i$ 's weight

## Show the Expected Loss is Bounded

Theorem: Suppose $\varepsilon \in(0,1]$ and for $t \in[T]$, then Hedge returns a probability distribution where for any expert $i \in[N]$,
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\begin{aligned}
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Proof:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{t+1} & \leq \Phi^{t}\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)-\varepsilon \cdot \sum_{j \in[N]} \Phi^{t} \cdot p_{j}^{t} \cdot m_{j}^{t} \\
& =\Phi^{t} \cdot\left(\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)-\varepsilon \cdot\left\langle\vec{p}^{t}, \vec{m}^{t}\right\rangle\right) \\
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$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{t+1} & \leq \Phi^{t}\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)-\varepsilon \cdot \sum_{j \in[N]} \Phi^{t} \cdot p_{j}^{t} \cdot m_{j}^{t} \\
\quad & =\Phi^{t} \cdot\left(\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)-\varepsilon \cdot\left\langle\vec{p}^{t}, \vec{m}^{t}\right\rangle\right) \\
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Proof: Combining upper and lower bounds on $\Phi^{t+1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp \left(-\varepsilon \cdot \sum_{t^{\prime} \leq t} m_{i}^{t}\right) \leq \Phi^{t+1} \leq \Phi^{1} \cdot \exp \left(\varepsilon^{2} \cdot T-\varepsilon \sum_{t^{\prime} \leq t}\left\langle\vec{p}^{t}, \vec{m}^{t}\right\rangle\right) \\
&-\varepsilon \cdot \sum_{t^{\prime} \leq t} m_{i}^{t} \leq \ln (N)+\varepsilon^{2} \cdot T-\varepsilon \sum_{t^{\prime} \leq t}\left\langle\vec{p}^{t}, \vec{m}^{t}\right\rangle \begin{array}{c}
\text { Take In of both } \\
\text { sides }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$
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Proof: Combining upper and lower bounds on $\Phi^{t+1}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\exp \left(-\varepsilon \cdot \sum_{t^{\prime} \leq t} m_{i}^{t}\right) \leq \Phi^{t+1} \leq \Phi^{1} \cdot \exp \left(\varepsilon^{2} \cdot T-\varepsilon \sum_{t^{\prime} \leq t}\left\langle\vec{p}^{t}, \vec{m}^{t}\right\rangle\right) \\
-\varepsilon \cdot \sum_{t^{\prime} \leq t} m_{i}^{t} \leq \ln (N)+\varepsilon^{2} \cdot T-\varepsilon \sum_{t^{\prime} \leq t}\left\langle\vec{p}^{t}, \vec{m}^{t}\right\rangle \\
\sum_{t^{\prime} \leq t}\left\langle\vec{p}^{t}, \vec{m}^{t}\right\rangle \leq \frac{\ln (N)}{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon \cdot T+\sum_{t^{\prime} \leq t} m_{i}^{t} \quad \text { Rearrange }
\end{gathered}
$$

Theorem: Suppose $\varepsilon \in(0,1]$ and for $t \in[T]$, then Hedge returns a probability distribution where for any expert $i \in[N]$,

$$
\sum_{t \in[T]}\left\langle\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{p}}^{t}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{m}}^{t}\right\rangle \leq \sum_{t \in[T]} m_{i}^{t}+\frac{\ln (N)}{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon T
$$

Corollary (Average cost): $\varepsilon \in(0,1], t \in[T], T \geq \frac{4 \ln (N)}{\varepsilon^{2}}$, then Hedge returns a probability distribution where for any expert $i \in[N]$,

$$
\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{T}} \cdot \sum_{t \in[T]}\left\langle\left\langle_{\boldsymbol{p}}, \vec{m}^{t}\right\rangle \leq \frac{1}{T} \cdot \sum_{t \in[T]} m_{i}^{t}+2 \varepsilon\right.
$$

Theorem: Suppose $\varepsilon \in(0,1]$ and for $t \in[T]$, then Hedge returns a probability distribution where for any expert $i \in[N]$,

$$
\sum_{t \in[T]}\left\langle\vec{p}^{t}, \vec{m}^{t}\right\rangle \leq \sum_{t \in[T]} m_{i}^{t}+\frac{\ln (N)}{\varepsilon}+\varepsilon T
$$

Corollary (Average cost): $\varepsilon \in(0,1], t \in[T], T \geq \frac{4 \ln (N)}{\varepsilon^{2}}$, then Hedge returns a probability distribution where for any expert $i \in[N]$,

$$
\frac{1}{T} \cdot \sum_{t \in[T]}\left\langle\vec{p}^{t}, \vec{m}^{t}\right\rangle \leq \frac{1}{T} \cdot \sum_{t \in[T]} m_{i}^{t}+2 \varepsilon
$$

Multiply by $\frac{1}{T}$ on both sides and set large enough $T$ to

$$
\text { simplify } \frac{\ln (N)}{\varepsilon} \text { term }
$$

Corollary (Average cost): $\varepsilon \in(0,1], t \in[T], T \geq \frac{4 \rho^{2} \ln (N)}{\varepsilon^{2}}, \boldsymbol{m}_{i}^{t} \in[-\boldsymbol{\rho}, \boldsymbol{\rho}]$, then Hedge returns a probability distribution where for any expert $i \in[N]$,

$$
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$\rho^{2}$ comes from Taylor expansion
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## Solving LPs (Approximately) using MWU

- Simple convex region: $K=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid x \geq 0, c^{\mathrm{T}} x=O P T\right\}$
- Need to check for feasibility of $\boldsymbol{A} x \geq \boldsymbol{b}$ in $K$
- Assume we have an oracle returns $x \in K$ satisfying following:
- Either $\boldsymbol{w}^{\boldsymbol{t}} \cdot \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x} \geq \boldsymbol{w}^{\boldsymbol{t}} \cdot \boldsymbol{b}$ for convex combination of constraints using vector $\boldsymbol{w}$
- Or infeasible (no such $x$ )
- Using oracle and MWU show:
- For a particular "guess" of OPT using binary search, the solution is feasible or infeasible (and take the smallest feasible "guess")
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## Solving LPs (Approximately) using MWU

$$
\begin{gathered}
c^{\mathrm{T}} \tilde{x}=\mathrm{OPT} \\
A \tilde{x} \geq b-\varepsilon \mathbf{1} \\
\tilde{x} \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

- Use oracle or solve system at each time $t$
- If no solution, halt and output infeasible
- Otherwise, take solution $x^{t}$ to impose cost $m_{j}^{t}=a_{i} \cdot x^{t}-b_{j}$
- Why use this cost?
- Whenever $a_{i} \cdot x^{t}-b_{j}>0$, we have "oversatisfied" the constraint
- Reduce weight of constraint next round; otherwise, increase


## Recall Hedge Algorithm

1. Initialize each $w_{i}^{1} \leftarrow 1$ for each $i \in[N]$
2. For each $t \in[T]$ :
a) Set $p_{i}^{t} \leftarrow \frac{w_{i}^{t}}{\sum_{j \in[N]} w_{j}^{t}}$
b) Observe the loss vector $\vec{m}^{t}$
c) For each $i \in[N]$ :
i. Set $w_{i}^{t+1} \leftarrow w_{i}^{t} \cdot \exp \left(-\varepsilon \cdot m_{i}^{t}\right)$
$m_{i}^{t}>0$, decrease $i^{\prime}$ s weight; otherwise increase $i$ 's weight
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Corollary (Average cost): $\varepsilon \in(0,1], t \in[T], T \geq \frac{4 \rho^{2} \ln (N)}{\varepsilon^{2}}, m_{i}^{t} \in[-\rho, \rho]$, then Hedge returns a probability distribution where for anv exnert $i \in[N]$.
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$$
a_{j} \cdot \bar{x} \geq b_{j}-2 \varepsilon
$$

- Get , $T \geq \frac{4 \rho^{2} \ln (N)}{\varepsilon^{2}}$ using corollary and substitute $\vec{w}$ for $\vec{p}$
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## Solving LPs (Approximately) using MWU

$\min c^{\mathrm{T}} x$
s. t. $A x \geq b$
$x \geq 0$
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$A x \geq b, x \in K$, then there is a solution
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Binary Search
for OPT
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c^{\mathrm{T}} \tilde{x}=\mathrm{OPT} \\
A \tilde{x} \geq b-\varepsilon \mathbf{1} \\
\tilde{x} \geq 0
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$$

- Use oracle to solve convex combination $w^{\mathrm{T}} A x \geq w^{\mathrm{T}} b$ at each time $t$ where $w$ is weight vector, initially all 1s
- If no solution, halt and output infeasible
- Otherwise, take solution $x^{t}$ to impose cost $m_{j}^{t}=a_{i} \cdot x^{t}-b_{j}$
- Use Hedge algorithm to update


## Solving LPs (Approximately) using MWU

- Runtime and cost?

Corollary (Average cost): $\varepsilon \in(0,1], t \in[T], T \geq \frac{4 \rho^{2} \ln (N)}{\varepsilon^{2}}, m_{i}^{t} \in[-\rho, \rho]$, then Hedge returns a probability distribution where for any expert $i \in[N]$,

$$
\frac{1}{\boldsymbol{T}} \cdot \sum_{t \in[T]}\left\langle\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{p}}^{t}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{m}}^{t}\right\rangle \leq \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{T}} \cdot \sum_{t \in[\boldsymbol{T}]} \boldsymbol{m}_{i}^{t}+2 \varepsilon
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- Get $T \geq \frac{4 \rho^{2} \ln (N)}{\varepsilon^{2}}$ using corollary and substitute $\vec{w}$ for $\vec{p}$


## Solving LPs (Approximately) using MWU

- Analysis:
$\cdot \frac{1}{\boldsymbol{T}} \cdot \sum_{\boldsymbol{t} \in[\boldsymbol{T}]}\left\langle\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\boldsymbol{t}}, \overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{m}}^{\boldsymbol{t}}\right\rangle=\left\langle\overrightarrow{\boldsymbol{p}}^{\boldsymbol{t}}, \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}^{\boldsymbol{t}}-\boldsymbol{b}\right\rangle=\boldsymbol{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \boldsymbol{A} x-\boldsymbol{w}^{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} \geq \mathbf{0}$
$\cdot \frac{1}{T} \cdot \sum_{t \in[T]} \boldsymbol{m}_{i}^{t}+2 \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{T} \cdot \sum_{t \in T}\left(a_{j} \cdot x^{t}-b_{j}\right)+2 \varepsilon=a_{j} \cdot \bar{x}-b_{j}+2 \varepsilon$
- Putting it together:

$$
\cdot a_{j} \cdot \bar{x}-b_{j}+2 \varepsilon \geq 0
$$

- Satisfies:

$$
\begin{gathered}
c^{\mathrm{T}} \tilde{x}=\text { OPT } \\
A \tilde{x} \geq b-\varepsilon^{\prime} \mathbf{1} \\
\tilde{x} \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Packing and Covering LPs

- Covering LPs:
- If for an all positive constraint matrix $A: A x \geq b$
- Put enough weight on $x$ to cover every constraint
- Packing LPs:
- If for an all positive matrix constraint: $A x \leq b$
- Packing as much into $x$ as possible without violating any constraint
- Packing LPs, just flip the feasibility constraint for the oracle:
- $p^{T} A x \leq p^{T} b$


## Example Applications: Densest Subgraph

- Problem Definition:

Densest Subgraph: Given a graph $G=(V, E)$, find a subset of vertices that maximizes $\max _{S \subseteq V}\left(\frac{E(S)}{V(S)}\right)$ the density of the induced subgraph on $S$.

